

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/885,296	06/20/2001	Atsushi Shimizu	15115/003001	2665
22511	7590 02/23/2004		EXAMINER	
ROSENTHAL & OSHA L.L.P.			OUELLETTE, JONATHAN P	
1221 MCKIN SUITE 2800	NNEY AVENUE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
HOUSTON,			3629	
			DATE MAILED: 02/23/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/885,296	SHIMIZU, ATSUSHI				
W	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jonathan Ouellette	3629				
The MAILING DATE of this communicati n appears on the c ver sheet with the corresp ndence address						
THE REPLY FILED 20 January 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 35 U.S.C. 101 rejection of Claims 23-28, 35, and 36.						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
⊠ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:	JU	JUM G. MEIOO				
Claim(s) rejected: 23-36.	SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	TECHNU	LUGY CENTEN 3000				
The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:						

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The examiner withdraws the 101 rejection of Claims 23-28, 35, and 36. However, the rejection 103(a) rejection of claims 23-36 as being anticipated by Bechet et al. will stand as final. The applicant makes the argument that the prior art of Bechet fails to disclose procees to supplement the weak capability in the organization with the strong capability of a specific individual. However, Bechet does disclose calculating staffing gaps (shortages) and surpluses by comparing demand to supply (predetermined criteria) in each category - then identifying the staffing action (e.g., movement) needed to close gaps and eliminate shortages/surpluses.